

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,017	HITT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856	

All Participants:

(1) Nashmiya S. Fayyaz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Charles Fredericks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection in view of Buss et al in view of Chuang et al

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Buss et al and Twersky et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an agreement was reached to amend the claims to alleviate 35 USC 112(2) problems and more clearly define the claims over the prior art. See attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/N. S. F./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2856

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)